

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,290	02/11/2005	Koji Kotani	100154.55927US	6411	
23911 75	90 05/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			VERDIER, CHI	VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M	
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY GROUP				
P.O. BOX 1430	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20044-4300		3745		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/524,290	KOTANI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher Verdier	3745		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre)ss	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a indo will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is FINAL . 2b) 1 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	· •	erits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are pending in the applicated of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11-14,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 18</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examember The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date 2-11-05.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)	

Receipt and entry of Applicants' Preliminary Amendment dated February 11, 2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because in figure 6, box 104, "<" should be changed to -->
-- (see page 13, lines 19-23 of the specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with
37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the
application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing
on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure
or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing
figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and
where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the
brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement
sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet
submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either
"Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not
accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective
action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Page 3

The disclosure is objected to because it is replete with grammatical errors too numerous to mention in all instances. The following are several examples of defects. The specification should be carefully proofread for additional defects. Appropriate correction is required.

On page 1, "DESCRIPTION" is superfluous and should be deleted.

On page 1, "TITLE OF THE INVENTION" is superfluous and should be deleted.

On page 1, last line, "Other" should be changed to -- An other --.

On page 2, line 19, "but" should be deleted.

On page 2, line 25, "the" should be deleted.

On page 3, line 32, "than" is unclear.

On page 5, line 16, "and the blow-off valve" is unclear.

On page 15, line 11, "102" should be changed to -- 118 --.

On page 15, line 13, "88" should be changed to -- 116 --.

On page 17, line 12, "liner" should be changed to -- linear --.

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Claim Objections

Claims 12 and 14-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 12, line 3, "surging" (second occurrence) should be changed to -- the surging --.

In claim 15, last line, -- the minimum -- should be inserted after "of".

In claim 16, line 2, -- minimum -- should be inserted after "the" (first occurrence).

In claim 16, line 3, "surging" should be changed to -- the surging --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-14, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, line 18, "a suction condition" is a double recitation and should be changed to -- the suction condition --. In claim 17, line 5, "amount" is inaccurate and should be changed to -- rate --. In claim 19, lines 3-4, which recite "when the discharge pressure is higher than a target discharge pressure and the blow-off valve" are inaccurate and unclear, because they literally state that the discharge pressure is higher than the blow-off valve, which is not possible for a pressure (which is a quantity) to be higher than a blow off-valve (which is an object).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kotani is cited to show a turbo-compressor control arrangement with a controller that controls a blow-off valve and inlet guide vanes and a memory. This patent has a later effective filing date than that of the instant application.

Page 5

Blotenberg is cited to show a turbo-compressor control arrangement with control of surge valves and inlet guide vanes.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is allowed.

Claims 15-16 and 18 contain allowable subject matter; applicant should correct the informalities therein.

Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 12-14, 17, and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V. April 28, 2006 Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745 Page 6